

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,217	09/29/2003	Ronald Cantagallo	9400-54	2506
20702 7500 09417,2008 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAIOVEC PO BOX 37428			EXAMINER	
			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/674,217 CANTAGALLO, RONALD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit William J. Deane 2614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/674,217

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 55 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,724,876 (Williams et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,072,857 (Venkateshwaran et al.)

With respect to claims 1 – 55, note that Williams teaches a method effecting telecommunications service features using extracted call control information using a call control application server and monitoring device (network administration application), transmitting a command communication including identification of the initiating and target devices and a code. The Williams et al. system uses ISUP and AIN including the use of SSP, STP and SCP. In addition, Williams et al. teaches the use of SS7 signaling. See Col. 2, line 43 – Col. 4, line 41, Col. 3, lines 53 – 60, Col. 4, lines 11 – 22 and lines 30 – 55, Col. 5, lines 15 – 58, Col. 6, lies 18 – 25, Col. 9, lines 34 – 57 and Col. 11, lines 11 - 40. Note also the Figs.

Art Unit: 2614

It is noted that in Williams et al. that call control information is transmitted through

the bearer channel of an established call. However, note Col. 1, lines 14 – 61 of

Venkateshwaran et al. where the call is not established. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a step as sending the call

control information where a call has not be establish as disclosed by Venkateshwaran

et al. into Williams as such would only the substitution of one known means of sending

call control information for another.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

They inquiry concerning this communication of carrier communications from the

Conclusion

examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484.

In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number.

(571) 273-8300.

14Sep2008

/William J Deane/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614